

## SELF-MANAGED SUPERANNUATION FUND INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

---

### Approved SMSF auditor details

Name: Anthony William Boys

Business name: SUPER AUDITS

Business postal address: Box 3376 RUNDLE MALL 5000

SMSF auditor number (SAN): 100014140

---

### Self-managed superannuation fund details

Self-managed superannuation fund (SMSF) name Lewis

Australian business number (ABN) or tax file number (TFN): 55 455 439 409

Address C/- 50 Hunter Street, NEWCASTLE N.S.W. 2300

Year of income being audited 1 July 2018 – 30 June 2019

---

### To the SMSF trustees

To the trustees of the Lewis Superannuation Fund

---



## Part A: Financial report

### Approved SMSF Auditor's Opinion

I have audited the special purpose financial report of the Lewis superannuation Fund comprising; the Profit & Loss Statement for the financial year ending 30 June 2019, the Balance Sheet as at 30 June 2019 and the Notes to and forming part of the Accounts for the year ended 30 June 2019 of the Lewis Superannuation Fund for the year ended 30 June 2019.

In my opinion, the financial report:

a) presents fairly, in all material respects, in accordance with the accounting policies described in the notes to the financial statements, the financial position of the fund at 30 June 2019 and the results of its operations for the year then ended **EMPHASIS OF MATTER: The valuation of the shares in the unlisted and unaudited company Peterson House Ltd has been accepted at the trustee's valuation.**

### Basis for Opinion

My audit has been conducted in accordance with Australian Auditing Standards<sup>1</sup>. My responsibilities under those standards are further described in the *Approved SMSF Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Report* section of this report. I am independent of the self-managed superannuation fund in accordance with the ethical requirements of the Accounting Professional and Ethical Standards Board's APES 110 *Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants* (the Code) as required by the Superannuation Industry (Supervision) Regulations 1994 (SISR). I have also fulfilled my other ethical responsibilities in accordance with the Code.

I believe that the audit evidence I have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my audit opinion.

### Emphasis of Matter - Basis of accounting

Without modifying my opinion, I draw attention to note 1 of the financial report, which describes the basis of accounting. The financial report has been prepared to assist *Lewis superannuation fund* meet the requirements of the SMSF's governing rules, the *Superannuation Industry (Supervision) Act 1993* (SISA) and the SISR. As a result, the financial report may not be suitable for other purposes.

### Responsibilities of SMSF trustees for the financial report

Each SMSF trustee (individual trustee or director of corporate trustee) is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial report in accordance with the financial reporting requirements of the SMSF's governing rules, the SISA and the SISR. Each trustee is also responsible for such internal controls as they determine are

---

<sup>1</sup>The Australian Auditing Standards issued by the Auditing and Assurance Standards Board.



AUDITING  
DUE DILIGENCE  
FORENSIC ACCOUNTING

necessary to enable the preparation and fair presentation of a financial report that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial report, the trustees are responsible for assessing the fund's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters relating to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless the trustees intend to wind-up the fund. The going concern basis of accounting is appropriate when it is reasonably foreseeable that the fund will be able to meet its liabilities as they fall due.

The trustees are responsible for overseeing the fund's financial reporting process.

### **Approved SMSF auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial report**

My responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial report based on my audit. I have conducted an independent audit of the financial report in order to express an opinion on it to the trustees.

My objective is to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial report as a whole is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes my opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with the Australian Auditing Standards will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of trustees taken on the basis of this financial report.

I have complied with the competency standards set by Australian Securities & Investments Commission (ASIC). My audit has been conducted in accordance with Australian Auditing Standards. These standards require that I comply with relevant ethical requirements relating to audit engagements, and plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance as to whether the financial report is free from material misstatement.

As part of an audit in accordance with Australian Auditing Standards, I exercise professional judgment and maintain professional scepticism throughout the audit. I also:

- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial report, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control.
- Obtain an understanding of internal controls relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the fund's internal control.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by the trustees.



AUDITING  
DUE DILIGENCE  
FORENSIC ACCOUNTING

- Conclude on the appropriateness of trustees' use of the going concern basis of accounting and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the fund's ability to continue as a going concern. If I conclude that a material uncertainty exists, I am required to draw attention in the auditor's report to the related disclosures in the financial report or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify my opinion. My conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of the auditor's report. However, future events or conditions may cause the fund to cease to continue as a going concern.
- Evaluate the overall presentation, structure and content of the financial report, including the disclosures, and whether the financial report represents the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.

I communicate with the trustees and or the trustee's authorised representative regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that I identify during the audit.

### Part B: Compliance report

#### Approved SMSF Auditor's Qualified Opinion

I have performed a reasonable assurance engagement on the Lewis Superannuation fund to provide an opinion in relation to its compliance, in all material respects, with the applicable provisions of the SISA and the SISR as listed below in the *Approved SMSF Auditor's Responsibility* section of this report.

In my opinion, each trustee of Lewis Superannuation fund has complied, in all material respects, with the applicable provisions of the SISA and the SISR specified below, for the year ended 30 June 2019 **except for Regulation 4.09A.**

#### Basis for Opinion

I have conducted my engagement in accordance with Standard on Assurance Engagements ASAE 3100 *Compliance Engagements* issued by the Auditing and Assurance Standards Board.

I believe that the evidence I have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my opinion.

#### Independence and quality control

I have complied with the independence requirements in accordance with the Accounting Professional and Ethical Standards Board's APES 110 *Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants* (the Code) as required by the SISR.

My firm applies Australian Standard on Quality Control 1 ASQC 1 *Quality Control for Firms that Perform Audits and Reviews of Financial Reports and Other Financial Information, and Other Assurance Engagements* in undertaking this assurance engagement.

I have complied with the competency standards set by ASIC.



## SMSF trustees' responsibility for compliance

Each SMSF trustee is responsible for complying with the requirements of the SISA and the SISR and for identifying, designing and implementing internal controls as they determine necessary to meet compliance requirements and monitor ongoing compliance.

## Approved SMSF auditor's responsibility for the compliance report

My responsibility is to express an opinion on the trustees' compliance with the applicable requirements of the SISA and the SISR, based on the compliance engagement. My procedures included testing that the fund has an investment strategy that complies with the SISA and that the trustees make investments in line with that strategy, however, no opinion is made on its appropriateness to the fund members.

My reasonable assurance engagement has been conducted in accordance with applicable Standards on Assurance Engagements issued by the Auditing and Assurance Standards Board, to provide reasonable assurance that the trustees of the fund have complied, in all material respects, with the relevant requirements of the following provisions (to the extent applicable) of the SISA and the SISR.

Sections: 17A, 34, 35AE, 35B, 35C(2), 35 (D) (1), 52 (2), 62, 65, 66, 67, 67A, 67B, 82-85, 103, 104, 104A, 105, 109, 126K

Regulations: 1.06(9A), 4.09, 4.09A, 5.03, 5.08, 6.17, 7.04, 8.02B, 13.12, 13.13, 13.14, 13.18AA 13.22 (B and C)

An assurance engagement to report on the fund's compliance with the applicable requirements of the SISA and the SISR involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the compliance activity and controls implemented to meet the compliance requirements. The procedures selected depend on my judgement, including the identification and assessment of risks of material non-compliance.

My procedures included examination, on a test basis, of evidence supporting compliance with those requirements of the SISA and the SISR for the year ended 30 June 2019

These tests have not been performed continuously throughout the period, were not designed to detect all instances of non-compliance, and have not covered any other provisions of the SISA and the SISR apart from those specified.

## Inherent limitations

Due to the inherent limitations of an assurance engagement, together with the internal control structure it is possible that fraud, error, or non-compliance with the listed provisions may occur and not be detected. A reasonable assurance engagement does not provide assurance on whether compliance with the listed provisions will continue in the future.



SMSF Auditor's signature

**Date:** 6 January 2020



AUDITING  
DUE DILIGENCE  
FORENSIC ACCOUNTING